

10/509047

1

UPCONVERSION WITH IMPROVED DIAGONAL ENHANCEMENT

Background Of The Invention1. Technical Field

This invention relates to the field of upconverting interlaced video to
5 progressive (non-interlaced) video. More particularly, this invention relates to the
field of deinterlacing algorithms.

2. Description of Related Art

The basic idea of selecting between two diagonal averages and a vertical
average has probably been used by many others previously and was explored by
10 the Sarnoff Research Laboratories (Sarnoff) in a research project funded by
Thomson (assignee herein) in the early 1990's.

A simple method of deinterlacing a field of luminance pixels to create lines
of pixels that are spatially in between the existing lines of the field is to average the
values of the pixel directly above and below to create each output pixel. This
15 method produces jagged edges on diagonal details in the field such as on steps
that are not horizontal or the on edges of the stripes on a flag as it waves in the
wind and the angles vary.

A better method for enhanced diagonal detail, chooses between three pixel
averages: the vertical average previously described and a left and right diagonal
20 average. The left diagonal average is computed by averaging the value of the
pixel to the left and above the position being interpolated with the pixel to the right
and below. Likewise, the right diagonal is the average of the pixel to the right and
above the position being interpolated with the pixel to the left and below.

The basic idea of selecting between two diagonal averages and a vertical
25 average was explored by the Sarnoff Research Laboratories (Sarnoff) in a
research project funded by Thomson (assignee herein) in the early 1990's. The
simplest algorithm choosing between the three choices evaluated by Sarnoff was
denoted in their report as the DIAG1 algorithm. Other algorithms that Sarnoff
explored and simulated to attempt to solve the noisy decision problem were
30 denoted DIAG3, DIAG3W, DIAG3WW. DIAG3 uses a more complicated decision
algorithm than DIAG1. DIAG3W adds a noise constraint to the DIAG3 algorithm.

The DIAG1 algorithm for selecting between two diagonal averages and a
vertical average computes and compares three differences using the same pixel
values as the averages. For each average, the corresponding difference is

computed and the absolute values of those differences are compared to find a minimum. The pixel average that corresponds to the minimum difference is selected to be the interpolated value.

The Sarnoff work mentioned is documented in two reports: Spatially-Adaptive De-Interlacing Techniques for Digital Feature TV, December 31, 1990 and Digital Feature TV Project Final Report, March 31, 1991.

Even with the use of having multiple choices to consider for a spatial interpolation estimate, the existing algorithm is unable to enhance picture quality for lower angle diagonals. There is a long-felt need for an improved algorithm that is effective for lower angle diagonals.

Summary of the Invention

A more accurate spatial estimate can be obtained by evaluating nearby diagonals. Adding a noise constraint to the decision process of selecting between two diagonal averages and the vertical average advantageously improves the picture quality in the areas where diagonal detail exists. A constraint can advantageously be imposed that causes the algorithm to prefer the vertical average in ambiguous cases.

This approach is described in a corresponding application filed concurrently herewith and denoted as the DIAG1T algorithm. A pair of constraints is advantageously applied to the DIAG1 average selection algorithm. Firstly, if the minimum difference is not unique, the vertical average is selected. Secondly, if the selected average does not lie in the range of values between the pixel above and the pixel below the position being interpolated, then the vertical average is selected. The resulting diagonal adjacent pixels line-up at angles in the displayed picture that correspond to or depend upon the sample rate. For a 720x480 picture with 4x3 aspect ratio, for example, the corrected diagonals correspond to angles as shallow as about 41 degrees above horizontal. The DIAG1T algorithm can only improve diagonal features in the picture that are steeper than that angle. Nevertheless, the DIAG1T provides a significant improvement in picture quality in a manner that is relatively simple to implement and which can be implemented at a comparatively low cost.

The present application is directed to a method that, although more complex than DIAG1T, improves diagonal enhancement at significantly shallower angles than DIAG1T. The second left and second right diagonal averages are also

included as choices in a multiple selection process, resulting in this case in a five-way selection process denoted as the DIAG5T algorithm. The second left diagonal average can be the average between the value of the pixel two pixels to the left and above the position being interpolated and the pixel two pixels to the right and below the pixel position being interpolated. The second right diagonal can be the average of the pixel two pixels to the right and above the pixel position being interpolated and the pixel two pixels to the left and below the pixel position being interpolated.

The DIAG5T algorithm chooses between these five choices as follows.

Firstly, the algorithm chooses between the first left diagonal and the second left diagonal by comparing the associated differences. The diagonal with the minimum difference is preferably selected with the first diagonal being preferred if the differences are equal. Secondly, and similarly to the first step, the algorithm chooses between the first right diagonal and the second right diagonal in a similar fashion. Thirdly, the algorithm's selection for the interpolated value is chosen from the three choices of the selected left diagonal, the vertical average and the selected right diagonal, using the same logic as the DIAG1T algorithm, with the selected diagonal averages and differences replacing the diagonal averages and differences referenced in DIAG1T.

In a 720x480 picture with 4x3 aspect ratio, for example, the corrected diagonals correspond to angles of about 24 degrees above horizontal which improves upon the range of angles that the DIAG1T can affect. Diagonal details in the picture with angles greater than this angle (24 degrees) can be advantageously improved with the DIAG5T algorithm.

Brief Description of the Drawings

Figure 1 is a block diagram of the DIAG1T diagonal enhancement upconversion system.

Figure 2 is a diagram useful for explaining the spatial orientation of original and interpolated pixels.

Figure 3 is a block diagram illustrating the details of the Averaging and Difference (AVG-DIF) blocks in Figure 1.

Figure 4 is a block diagram illustrating the signal flow in the DIAG1T circuit shown in Figure 1.

Figure 5 is a block diagram of the DIAG1 decision logic shown in Figure 4.

Figure 6 is a block diagram illustrating the DIAG1T constraint logic in Figure 4.

Figure 7 is a block diagram of the first part of the DIAG5T diagonal enhancement upconversion system in accordance with the present invention.

Figure 8 is a block diagram of the second part of the DIAG5T vertical enhancement upconversion system in accordance with the present invention.

Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiments

The DIAG5T deinterlacing algorithm can be used as a spatial only (intra-field) algorithm or as a spatial estimate in a motion adaptive deinterlacing algorithm. The DIAG5T incorporates certain elements of the DIAG1 and the DIAG1T algorithms, and accordingly, these will be explained first.

Let the luminance pixel values on two consecutive lines of input video be labeled X_{ij} and the interpolated progressive output line pixels be labeled Y_{ij} as follows, and as shown in Figure 2:

Input line 1: $X_{11} \ X_{12} \ X_{13} \ X_{14} \ X_{15}$

Output line: $Y_{11} \ Y_{12} \ Y_{13} \ Y_{14} \ Y_{15}$

Input line 2: $X_{21} \ X_{22} \ X_{23} \ X_{24} \ X_{25}$

A simple line average spatial estimate would be:

$$Y_{1j} = (X_{1j} + X_{2j})/2.$$

The description of the DIAG1T algorithm given below will focus on computing the spatial estimate for the output position Y_{13} . For other output pixels, the pixel indices in the description would be adjusted accordingly. An implementation of the algorithm can choose to modify the processing described herein at the beginnings and ends of lines when required input pixels are not available.

For Y_{13} , the DIAG1T algorithm computes 3 pixel averages and 3 pixel differences as follows, and as shown in Figure 1:

$$y_{1L} = (X_{12} + X_{24})/2; \quad d_{1L} = \text{abs}(X_{12} - X_{24});$$

$$y_{1V} = (X_{13} + X_{23})/2; \quad d_{1V} = \text{abs}(X_{13} - X_{23});$$

$$y_{1R} = (X_{14} + X_{22})/2; \quad d_{1R} = \text{abs}(X_{14} - X_{22});$$

These averages and differences correspond to a left diagonal, a vertical and a right diagonal estimate. An exemplary block diagram of a circuit 10 for providing all these averages and differences is shown in Figure 1 including a plurality of delay circuits 12, 14, 16, 18, and 20 in the form of flip flops and other suitable

devices. For example, delay circuit 16 can be a line delay. The circuit further includes minimum circuit 22, maximum circuit 24, as well as AVG-DIFF blocks 26, 28 and 30. The details of an exemplary AVG-DIF block such as the AVG-DIF block 26 in Figure 1 is shown in Figure 3. AVG-DIF block 26 preferably includes in an averaging portion of the device or block, a summer 34 for adding pixel values and a divide-by-two circuit 38. In a difference portion, block 26 further includes a subtractor 26 and an absolute value function 39.

The basic DIAG1 algorithm chooses the estimate that corresponds to the minimum difference as follows, and as shown in Figure 5:

```

Y = y1V;    d = d1V;
if(d1L < d) {y = y1L; d = d1L;}
if(d1R < d)  y = y1R;
Y13 = y;

```

More specifically, the basic DIAG1 decision logic 42 can be represented using comparators 52 and 58 and multiplexers 54, 56 and 59 coupled as shown in Figure 5 to provide the functionality of the DIAG1 algorithm described above, although the present invention is not limited thereto. Note that the DIAG1 algorithm or DIAG1 decision logic 42 forms a part of the overall DIAG1T decision logic 32 shown in Figures 1 and 4.

The noise constraint or the DIAG1T constraint logic 44 shown in Figures 4 and 6 that is used to clean up decision noise in DIAG1, and in so doing distinguish DIAG1T over DIAG1, further insists that a value for the given output pixel (Y13) fall within a range of values of a top pixel and a bottom pixel defining the vertical average for the given output pixel. In terms of equations, this means that either:

$$X13 \leq Y13 \leq X23 \quad \text{or} \quad X13 \geq Y13 \geq X23$$

If this constraint is not satisfied, then output $Y13 = y1V$.

An additional constraint distinguishing DIAG1T is that the left diagonal difference either equals or substantially equals the right diagonal difference. In other words, the left diagonal difference and the right diagonal difference need to be unique. In terms of equations:

$$\text{if } d1L = d1R, \text{ then output } Y13 = y1V.$$

These further constraints are illustrated in Figures 4 and 6. In particular, the DIAG1T constraint logic 44 shown in Figure 6 can be embodied by comparators

60, 62, and 64, OR gate 66 and multiplexer 68 arranged and coupled as shown to provide the functions described above.

The DIAG5T algorithm uses much of the circuits and methods of the DIAG1T algorithm. It is assumed that the video signal is in component form and that only the luminance component is processed with the DIAG5T algorithm. A simple line average is satisfactory for deinterlacing the two lower resolution chrominance components.

For Y13, the DIAG5T algorithm computes 5 pixel averages and 5 pixel differences as follows, and as shown in Figure 7 (part 1 of DIAG5T):

$$\begin{aligned} y1L2 &= (X11 + X25)/2; & d1L2 &= \text{abs}(X11 - X25); \\ y1L1 &= (X12 + X24)/2; & d1L1 &= \text{abs}(X12 - X24); \\ y1V &= (X13 + X23)/2; & d1V &= \text{abs}(X13 - X23); \\ y1R1 &= (X14 + X22)/2; & d1R1 &= \text{abs}(X14 - X22); \\ y1R2 &= (X15 + X21)/2; & d1R2 &= \text{abs}(X15 - X21); \end{aligned}$$

These averages and differences correspond to a second and first left diagonal, a vertical and a first and second right diagonal estimate. An exemplary block diagram of a circuit 70 for providing all these averages and differences is shown in Figure 7 including a plurality of delay circuits 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, and 79 in the form of flip flops and other suitable devices. For example, delay circuit 75 can be a line delay. The circuit further includes minimum circuit 86, maximum circuit 88, as well as AVG-DIFF blocks 80, 81, 82, 83 and 84. The details of an exemplary AVG-DIF block used in circuit 70 would be similar to the AVG-DIF block 26 shown in Figures 1 and 3.

First, the DIAG5T algorithm selects one of the left diagonals and one of the right diagonals as follows, and as shown in Figure 8.

The left diagonal selection is:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{if}(d1L2 < d1L) \{y1L = y1L2; d1L = d1L2;\} \\ &\text{else } y1L = y1L1; d1L = d1L1 \end{aligned}$$

The right diagonal selection is:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{if}(d1R2 < d1R) \{y1R = y1R2; d1R = d1R2;\} \\ &\text{else } y1R = y1R1; d1R = d1R1 \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the DIAG5T diagonal selection logic 90 shown in Figure 8 can be embodied by comparators 94 and 97, multiplexers 93, 95, 96 and 98 feeding their respective outputs to the DIAG1T decision logic 32 as shown in Figure 4, and

further arranged and coupled as shown to provide the functions described above. Note that circuit 91 comprises comparator 94 and multiplexers 93 and 95 for processing the left and second left diagonal averages and differences and circuit 92 comprises comparator 97 and multiplexers 96 and 98 for processing the right and second right diagonal averages and differences.

The DIAG5T algorithm then uses the resulting 3 averages and differences ($y1L$, $y1V$, $y1R$, $d1L$, $d1V$, $d1R$), using the same process as the DIAG1T algorithm, first selecting an average as follows:

$Y = y1V$; $d = d1V$;

if($d1L < d$) { $y = y1L$; $d = d1L$;

if($d1R < d$) $y = y1R$;

$Y13 = y$; Then checking the following constraints, as in the DIAG1T algorithm, DIAG5T insists that either:

$X13 < Y13 < X23$ or $X13 > Y13 > X23$

If this constraint is not satisfied, then output $Y13$ is set to $y1V$. An additional constraint enforced is that:

if $d1L$ and $d1R$ are equal, then output $Y13$ is set to $y1V$.

Figure 8 illustrates the second part of the DIAG5T algorithm, receiving inputs from part 1 in Figure 7. A logic block for processing the two left diagonals generates $y1L$ and $d1L$ from $y1L1$, $y1L2$, $d1L1$ and $d1L2$. A logic block for processing the two right diagonals generates $y1R$ and $d1R$ from $y1R1$, $y1R2$, $d1R1$ and $d1R2$. The output of these logic blocks and the V_{MIN} , $y1V$, $d1V$ and V_{MAX} outputs of Figure 7 are inputs to decision logic corresponding to the DIAG1T algorithm to generate the interpolated output pixel y . The DIAG5T algorithm includes a selection step that constrains the result (y) to the vertical average if the differences among the averages are ambiguous. Note that in the DIAG5T algorithm, the two left diagonal averages can be equal or "ambiguous" ($y1L1 = y1L2$) and the two right diagonal averages can be equal or "ambiguous" ($y1R1 = y1R2$), yet it is the final DIAG1T constraint of the DIAG5T algorithm that constrains the interpolated value to the vertical average if the selected left diagonal and the selected right diagonal are ambiguous or equal or not unique ($y1L = y1R$). Thus, as shown above, among the original 5 averages, the minimum may not necessarily be unique. Thomson's Princeton Engine real time digital video simulator was programmed to demonstrate the DIAG5T algorithm. In demos with frozen or

moving video, areas with diagonal detail are visibly improved. One such scene observed had an American flag waving in the wind. The stripes on the flag change from horizontal to various angles of diagonal as the flag moved in the wind. The improvement of jagged edges on some of the diagonal angles was significant. The
5 DIAG5T algorithm is able to improve the edge between stripes of the flag at significantly shallower angles to horizontal than, for example, the DIAG1T algorithm. The improvement of DIAG5T over DIAG1T is approximately 50% greater than the improvement of DIAG1T over a simple vertical average.

When used as the spatial estimate of a motion adaptive algorithm, the
10 DIAG5T algorithm substantially improves moving areas of the picture. Stationary regions of the picture already have superior detail from adjacent fields of the video. Moreover, in a 720x480 picture with 4x3 aspect ratio, The DIAG5T algorithm provides enhancement for diagonals corresponding to angles as shallow as about 24 degrees above horizontal and steeper.

15 In light of the foregoing description of the invention, it should be recognized that the present invention can be realized in hardware, software, or a combination of hardware and software. A method of interpolating a given output pixel value when upconverting interlaced video to progressive video according to the present invention can be realized in a centralized fashion in one processing system, or in a
20 distributed fashion where different elements are spread across several interconnected systems. Any kind of computer system, or other apparatus adapted for carrying out the methods described herein, is suited. A typical combination of hardware and software could be a general purpose computer processor or digital signal processor with a computer program that, when being loaded and executed,
25 controls the computer system such that it carries out the methods described herein.

The present invention can also be embedded in a computer program product, which comprises all the features enabling the implementation of the methods described herein, and which, when loaded in a computer system, is able
30 to carry out these methods. Computer program or application in the present context means any expression, in any language, code or notation, of a set of instructions intended to cause a system having an information processing capability to perform a particular function either directly or after either or both of the

following a) conversion to another language, code or notation; b) reproduction in a different material form.

Additionally, the description above is intended by way of example only and is not intended to limit the present invention in any way, except as set forth in the

5 following claims.